

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/491,304 | 01/25/2000 | Wade J. Walterscheid | 12204/04701 | 8373 |
| 26116 7 | 590 10/16/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP | | | THOMAS, ALEXANDER S | |
| 717 NORTH E SUITE 3400 | IARWOOD | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DALLAS, TX | 75201 | | 1772 | 90 |

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | AS-20 |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | | 09/491,304 | WALTERSCHEID, W | ADE J. |
| | Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | |
| | | Alexander S. Thomas | 1772 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence addre | ss |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply one period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | unication. |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.5 | September 2003 . | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) Th | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3)☐ Disposit | Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under ton of Claims | | | nerits is |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-16,39,40 and 42-66</u> is/are pending | in the application. | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | |
| 5)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-16,39,40,42-61 and 63-65 is/are alle | owed. | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 62 and 66 is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep | oted or b) objected to by the | ne Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11) 🔲 | The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ di | sapproved by the Examiner. | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | oly to this Office action. | | |
| 12) 🔲 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | |
| Pri rity ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Ap | pplication No | |
| * S | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | ige |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | · | | plication). |
| _a |) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | visional application has be | en received. | , |
| Attachmen | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of I | summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). nformal Patent Application (PTO-19 | . 52) |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/491,304

Art Unit: 1772

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 62 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant argues that the Examiner has failed to set forth why persons skilled in the art would not recognize in the disclosure a description of the invention defined by the claims. Initially it is pointed out that MPEP 2163(II)(A) states that the initial burden of presenting evidence is the examiner's only after applicant points out where and/or how the originally filed disclosure supports the amendments to the claims, and in the instant case applicant has not done so. In any event, the fact that the term "somewhat perpendicular to the first hinge" does not appear in the original disclosure is evidence why a person skilled in the art would not recognize that the written description of the invention provides support for the claims. Concerning the reliance of Figs. 2-4 to show support, it is not understood how these Figs. along with the disclosure at page 6, lines 13-15 support the language "somewhat perpendicular" not present in the claims. For example, the sheet could be tensioned in a direction which is substantially not perpendicular to the hinge and still round the corners of the pad 104.

Application/Control Number: 09/491,304

Art Unit: 1772

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander S. Thomas whose telephone number is 703-308-2421. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER